	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	X
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 19-CR-576 (BMC)
4	Plaintiff, : : United States Courthouse
5	-against- : Brooklyn, New York
6	GENARO GARCIA LUNA, : : Wednesday, December 15, 2021
7	Defendant. : 9:00 a.m.
8	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRIAN M. COGAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	For the Government: BREON S. PEACE, ESQ. United States Attorney
14	Eastern District of New York 271 Cadman Plaza East
15	Brooklyn, New York 11201 BY: RYAN C. HARRIS, ESQ.
16	ERIN REID, ESQ. HIRAL D. MEHTA, ESQ.
17	PHILLIP N. PILMAR, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorneys
18	For the Defendant: THE LAW FIRM OF CÉSAR DE CASTRO, P.C.
19	111 Fulton Street Suite 602
20	New York, New York 10038 CÉSAR DE CASTRO, ESQ.
21	SHANNON M. MCMANUS, ESQ.
22	AND
23	GOTLIB LAW, PLLC 225 Broadway
24	Suite 2815 New York, New York 10007
25	VALERIE A. GOTLIB, ESQ.

MS. REID: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
MR. DE CASTRO: Good morning. For Mr. Garcia
Luna, who is seated or is standing, rather, to my right,
César De Castro. And joining me is Valerie Gotlib and
Shannon McManis. And Kimberly Tavares is the paralegal for
our firm.
THE COURT: Good morning.
Good morning, Mr. Luna.
THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
THE COURT: Okay. This is both a status
conference and a CIPA Section 2 Hearing. I think the way to
proceed is to have the Government tell me what its plan is
with regard to CIPA.
MR. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.
So the Court is obviously well aware of the
procedures associated with CIPA. The Government has
completed its review of any potential classified materials
in this case, and so we would like to set a briefing
schedule. We're requesting that the Court set a date of
five months from today, so a Section 4 brief.
THE COURT: I'm sorry. How long?
MR. HARRIS: Five months.
THE COURT: Okay.
Is there any problem with that from defendant's

perspective?

MR. DE CASTRO: I think it's a bit lengthy,
Your Honor. Of course, if the Government -- perhaps a more
aggressive schedule, if you will, and then if the Government
needs more time, of course and it's reasonable, I'm not an
objector in that regard, but... If that makes sense?

THE COURT: Can't we try to do it faster, Mr. Harris?

MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, I'm happy to set a more aggressive schedule of -- the 150 days, or five months was just with the goal of not having to come back before the Court and have some more time.

THE COURT: The letter.

MR. HARRIS: Yes, understood, Your Honor.

I would just note that the course, in any case, the CIPA process is a lengthy process, certainly in the Second Circuit. It's requiring declarations by the heads of agencies. This case is particularly complex. As you can imagine, Your Honor, there are a number of anticipated witnesses who are foreign citizens. In addition, it involves a defendant who is a cabinet-level officer of the Mexican Government, and that, of course, presents its own complexities. And given the complexity of this case, the CIPA process, we're expecting to be similarly complex; and so that's why we've requested this schedule.

1 However, we defer to the Court. If you would like 2 to set a more aggressive schedule, we'll be happy to meet that schedule. And then if we are unable to, we will advise 3 4 the Court accordingly. THE COURT: Well, I think based on that, it's 5 really unlikely that you are going to be able to do three 6 7 months. And I am not going to quibble over the one month, but I will tell you this: Five months, and I expect this to 8 9 be done. Please do not come back in front of me and ask for 10 another extension, because that really should be long 11 enough, Mr. Harris. 12 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. HARRIS: 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 Melonie, what do we have? THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: May 3rd at 10:00 a.m., 15 16 Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. HARRIS: And, Your Honor, just to be clear so 19 we understand. That would be the date for the submission of the briefing --20 21 THE COURT: Yes. That is not a hearing date. 22 That is just a submission date, May 3rd. 23 MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: And then, Mr. De Castro, I mean, you

don't know what you're dealing with yet.

1	MR. DE CASTRO: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: I will set a 30-day opposition date
3	after that, but I will be flexible in the event that you
4	need more time.
5	MR. DE CASTRO: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: Is this the you know, let me just
7	think about this. Is this motion going to be an ex parte
8	motion?
9	MR. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Well, then you're not going to
11	respond.
12	Okay. What is the next step after I get your
13	motion on May 3rd?
14	MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, then I think the Court
15	would set a Section 4 conference at the appropriate time,
16	and we'll defer to the Court for that.
17	THE COURT: Again, an ex parte conference?
18	MR. HARRIS: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: All right. Well, I am in the position
20	I thought Mr. De Castro was in, because I don't know what
21	you are going to say either, you know. But let's just set a
22	holding date for that conference for 30 days after, and that
23	will be an ex parte conference just the Government and me.
24	And I guess that's June 3rd? Is that a business

day, Melonie?

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, Judge. 1 That is a 2 Friday. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Let's do that at 10:00 a.m. --4 oh, you know what? Can we do Thursday instead? 5 Do we have any time, Melonie? THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, Judge. Then May 2nd 6 7 at 10:00 a.m. 8 THE COURT: 2nd -- June 2nd at 10:00 a.m. 9 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I'm sorry, June 2nd. 10 THE COURT: 0kay? MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 12 THE COURT: Is there anything else we need to do 13 today on CIPA? 14 MR. HARRIS: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 16 I do want to ask. Obviously, it is 17 the Government's expectation that some material will be 18 classified. Do you anticipate using the procedure of -- to 19 the extent that the material that's classified is 20 discoverable, preparing summaries for the defense? 21 there's a number of ways that you can do this. Some of them 22 are just summaries for me. Some of them are summaries for the defense. 23 But if it's, you know, Brady material, you 24 have got to give them something, unless it's in a special 25 category.

Your Honor, I think without having, 1 MR. HARRIS: 2 obviously, drafted the brief, I can imagine that there will 3 be material that is subject to summaries for the defense and 4 for the Court. I would, of course, defer to the Court's 5 decision to make as to how that material is disclosed. Well, I will make the decision, but I 6 THE COURT: 7 want a recommendation from the Government when it's time. 8 MR. HARRIS: Of course, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 All right. What else do we need to talk about today? 11 12 Your Honor, we have provided a MR. HARRIS: Yes. 13 brief overview of where we are in discovery. I don't know 14 that there is anything that needs to said on that, 15 Your Honor. 16 The Government has also filed a motion for an 17 anonymous and partially sequestered jury. 18 THE COURT: Right. 19 MR. HARRIS: I think that for Defense Counsel, it 20 would be appropriate to set a briefing schedule for that 21 motion. And I think that's the only other item on the 22 agenda from the Government's perspective. 23 THE COURT: Okay. When would you like to respond, 24 Mr. De Castro?

MR. DE CASTRO: So, Judge, just on the status in

general -- well, I guess, let me deal with, obviously, the motion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As the Court's in a -- the Government is in a much different stage than we are, of course, because we are still finding our way through millions of pages of discovery, so I consent to the Government. I'm not even near the jury selection thought process, but, of course, I have no problem. But if the Court could just give me a bit of a lengthy schedule to determine that?

You know, I think I would like to say, just so the Court is aware, I would like -- well, I am going to make a request of the Government for particulars in this case. It is not my practice to make that in every case, and it is a very rare remedy that a Court would join in -- and I do expect the Government to provide some response. They have provided us, as the Court is aware, with millions of documents. But I think what's important to note is the majority of which have no connection to Mr. Garcia Luna. They may at some sort of -- I don't know. I can't -- it's very hard to say. The majority of which don't have his name, don't include him. There is a small portion, and it is shocking that the small portion is quite voluminous, of his financial records, of which I still think we are working to see if -- we have not seen any evidence of criminality, any evidence of connection to narcotics traffickers, of

which this case is all about.

There has been somewhat of a development, which creates another issue on the defense side, which is that the Government here is alleging that he has amassed all of this wealth through connections to narcotics traffickers. Yet the Mexican Government has filed a lawsuit in Florida against Mr. Garcia Luna and others, and the Mexican Government Officials, of which my understanding was providing information to the Government in connection with this case, alleging that there was a scheme to defraud, and that there was Government contracts in some way that were -- that the defendant and others somehow defrauded the Mexican Government, and that's how he amassed some sort of wealth. So it's a moving target for us over here.

And so I say all of that because I think that, on top of hundreds of hours of recordings that we have to sit through, of which we're really not getting that much assistance from the Government, I think a Bill of Particulars in this case may be very, very appropriate. And it falls within, in my view, almost every factor that every Court has considered in this sort of circumstance. That is my view, of course. But I will, of course, make that request and a very detailed one to the Government.

And as it relates to CIPA, I think that the Government -- I mean, I have information that I believe

1 that there is likely Brady material, as well as incredibly 2 pertinent information within those CIPA materials. 3 client has met with the highest levels of the 4 U.S. Government. He was part of the Mexican Government when there was a lot of transitions, CIA, FBI; all of those 5 directors met with Mr. Garcia Luna. He was with face of the 6 7 Mexican Government in the intelligence community. And so I 8 think there is going to be a volume, and what I am scared 9 of, is a humongous volume of material that is going to be 10 central to our defense in the case. And so I think that that also warrants more assistance in particular from the 11 12 Government.

All right. I think that is all I have on that point, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I understand the preview of the motion for a Bill of Particulars, and I appreciate that. I would urge the Government to try to come to some sort of accommodation. It does sound like, you know, looking for a harbor in an ocean, and the defense is probably entitled to some assistance in figuring out what the Government wants to use and what it does not want to use.

So before you file it, Mr. De Castro, like you said, you are going to send a request to the Government?

MR. DE CASTRO: Correct.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: All right. You will see if you can work it out. If you can't, I'm here.

With regard to the anonymous jury motion, I am convinced that now is not the time to hear it, and there is no urgency in hearing it. So I'm going to not set an opposition date for that motion. As we get through the discovery and we have a trial date and we start worrying about a trial, I will then consider the need for an anonymous jury. Okay?

MR. HARRIS: Understood, Your Honor.

MR. DE CASTRO: That woks. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. So when should we have our next conference here, not the *ex parte* conference with the Government?

MR. HARRIS: Your Honor, traditionally in the case we've been setting them every 60 days, and if there is an issue, to bring before the Court if you are here.

Otherwise, we are happy to continue proceeding by letter where there is no other information for the Court. So I would request the Court and Defense Counsel just to set a 60-day date, and then if we have any issues that we need to bring to the Court's attention at that time; otherwise, we can proceed otherwise by letter.

THE COURT: All right.

Okay. Ms. Clarke, what do you have that is 60

	Proceedings	13
1	days out?	
2	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: February 16th at 10:30,	
3	Judge.	
4	THE COURT: All right. Time is excluded until	
5	then based on the pending motion and also on the obvious	
6	complexity of the case.	
7	Anything further from either side?	
8	MR. HARRIS: No, Your Honor.	
9	MR. DE CASTRO: No, Your Honor. Thank you.	
10	THE COURT: All right. Thank you, everyone. We	
11	are adjourned.	
12	(Matter concluded.)	
13		
14	00000	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	I (we) certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter	
23		,
24	<u>/s/ David R. Roy</u> December 30, 2021 DAVID R. ROY Date	
25		